From: Axe, Al

To: Barbara Nann/R6/USEPA/US@EPA; Jessica Hernandez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA; Anne Foster/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Cc: <u>'Inglin, Sonja A.'</u>; <u>'Cermak, John F.'</u>

Subject: San Jacinto River Fleet

Date: 10/04/2011 05:35 PM

Barbara,

With respect to your attached email, please let me assure you that I am not trying to "avoid the issue at hand." I read Jessica's previous email as a request for documentation relating to the ownership of property along the western property boundary of the Virgil C. McGinnes, Trustee tract of land, the question being whether access agreements need to be obtained from Big Star or San Jacinto River Fleet, L.L.C. (SJRF) for that property. In response, I provided a survey prepared by Shine & Associates, Inc in conjunction with the recent purchase of the Big Star property by SJRF. In 1980, Big Star purchased a 150.07 acre tract of land that adjoined the Virgil C. McGinnes, Trustee tract along the tract's northern and western boundaries. The Shine & Associates survey is a resurvey of the 20.72 acre residue of this 150.07 acre tract by a Licensed State Land Surveyor, the 20.72 acres being that portion of the Big Star 150.07 acre tract that is currently above the line of mean high water. Since the resurvey does not show any residue along the western property boundary of the Virgil C. McGinnes, Trustee tract that was still owned by Big Star, this seems to clear up the property ownership issue. SJRF did not purchase any property along that boundary because the resurvey shows that Big Star no longer owned any property along that boundary.

I do not recall that my client "was the first to raise the issue with EPA about the potential that the western waste pit is not completely located with the McGinnes tract", as you state in your email. I assume that this issue may have been raised by Big Star because they raised the issue with the Respondents about the time Big Star entered into discussions with SJRF about the sale of their property. After the resurvey was done, however, the Respondents did not hear anything further from either Big Star or SJRF about the issue. Moreover, I discussed this issue with Brian Darnell of SJRF several months ago after we learned that Big Star was discussing the sale of the property to SJRF and he confirmed to me on the phone that the surveyors had determined that Big Star no longer owned property along the western boundary. Brian Darnell recently sent to EPA a copy of the Special Warranty Deed evidencing the purchase of the former Big Star property by SJRF. Apparently, both SJRF and its lender, The Frost National Bank, have accepted the Shine & Associates survey as an accurate depiction of Big Star's former property. Is anyone, including either Big Star or SJRF, raising this issue with EPA at this point?

Your email also states that "EPA has requested a survey from ... [my]...client on more that one occasion...". I have visited with my client and neither they nor I recall EPA having ever requested a survey of the western waste pit before the recent request. Again, since the resurvey of the Big Star property seems to have clarified the property ownership issue, we thought this would satisfy EPA's request and obviate the additional expense of another survey, particularly when this no longer appears to be an issue of concern to Big Star or SJRF.

To the extent that this explanation of our reasoning does not satisfy EPA, the Respondents will obviously need more time to followup on this issue. Since we are not aware of any complaints about access or trespass, we do not understand the urgency to address this issue within the very short 14 day period requested by EPA. Neither the AOC or the UAO appears to address this issue specifically; thus, we suggest that we discuss this issue after your upcoming meeting with SJRF (which we understand will occur on Thursday of this week) to decide what additional information, if any, is needed to clarify the access issue. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks. Al

```
Albert R. Axe, Jr.
Direct: (512) 370-2806
Fax: (512) 370-2850
profile link: http://www.winstead.com/Attorneys/aaxe

----Original Message----
From: Nann.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Nann.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2011 5:00 PM
To: Axe, Al
Cc: Hernandez.Jessica@epamail.epa.gov; Foster.Anne@epamail.epa.gov; 'Inglin, Sonja A.'; 'Cermak, John F.'
Subject: RE: San Jacinto River Fleet
```

You seem to be avoiding the issue at hand. EPA has requested the survey (metes and bounds) of the western waste pit of which work has occurred for the removal and RI/FS. This issue of whether respondents have all the proper access agreements in place has not been resolved. Your client was the first one to raise the issue with EPA about the potential that the western waste pit is not completely located with the McGinnes tract. Note: I am speaking about the portion of waste pit that is above water. EPA has requested a survey from your client on more than one occasion and all you have provided is the survey for San Jacinto Fleet which is not what we requested. What EPA is asking for is the metes and bounds of the western waste pit along with the overlay of survey of the McGinnes to indicate that respondents have access from all the proper parties for the waste pits (specifically those portions above the tide line). I thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Barbara A. Nann Assistant Regional Counsel EPA Region 6 (6RC-S) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202 phone: (214) 665-2157 fax: (214) 665-6460 nann.barbara@epa.gov

---- "Axe, Al" <aaxe@winstead.com> wrote: ----

To: Jessica Hernandez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA From: "Axe, Al" <aaxe@winstead.com>Date: 09/30/2011 03:52PM

Cc: Barbara Nann/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Anne Foster/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, "'Inglin, Sonja A.'"
<singlin@bakerlaw.com>, "'Cermak, John F.'" <jcermak@bakerlaw.com>
Subject: RE: San Jacinto River Fleet

Axe,

Direct: (512) 370-2806
Fax: (512) 370-2850
profile link: http://www.winstead.com/Attorneys/aaxe

From: Hernandez.Jessica@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Hernandez.Jessica@epamail.epa.gov] Sent: Wednesday, September 28, 2011 10:23 AM To: Axe, Al Cc: Nann.Barbara@epamail.epa.gov; Foster.Anne@epamail.epa.gov Subject: Re: San Jacinto River Fleet

A1 -

Thank you for your note. At this point our primary concern is that Respondents provide documentation regarding the metes and bounds of the western waste pit north of I-10 bridge. Respondents should have already in their possession documentation that demonstrates that Respondents have secured access from all the parties who may have a potential ownership interest in the western portion of the western waste pit. This information is required to be secured under both the Administrative Order on Consent for Removal and the Unilateral Administrative Order on Consent for Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study. Moreover, under both agreements, Respondents are required to provide documentation regarding their work under these agreements when requested by EPA. To that end, on September 21, 2011, EPA requested (in an email from Barbara Nann) that Respondents provide the following:

- (1) survey of the parcel western waste pit(2) signed access agreements from the appropriate parties

With regards to securing access agreements with San Jacinto River Fleet, EPA is willing to grant Respondents an extension of 14 days to allow San Jacinto River Fleet to meet with EPA and have their questions answered before they negotiate an access agreement with you. However, we did not interpret your request for an extension to apply to EPA's request for documentation. Accordingly, it remains our expectation that those documents be provided by October 5, 2011.

Please let me know if you have additional questions or need further clarification regarding our request. I will be in meetings most of the day, but will be checking my email every couple of

Many thanks.

Jessica

Jessica Hernandez Office of Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Tel. (214) 665-8384 Fax (214) 665-6460

IRS Circular 230 Required Notice--IRS regulations require that we inform you as follows: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter[s].

Information contained in this transmission is attorney privileged and confidential. It is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone.
[attachment(s) "Alta Page 1 of 3 San Jacinto River Fleet.pdf", "ALTA Sheet 2 of 3

SanJacintoRiverFleet.pdf", "ALTA Sheet 3 of 3 SanJacintoRiverFleet.pdf" removed by Barbara Nann/R6/USEPA/US]

IRS Circular 230 Required Notice--IRS regulations require that we inform you as follows: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter[s].

Information contained in this transmission is attorney privileged and confidential. It is intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone.